

APPENDIX

Methodology

QUALITY OF CARE FOR CHRONIC CONDITIONS IN MINNESOTA

2018 REPORT

mncm.org

mnhealthscores.org

©2018 MN Community Measurement. All Rights Reserved.



Methods

The measures in this report are collected from two separate data sources: clinics and health plans. Direct Data Submission (DDS) measures use data from clinics. This data enables reporting of results by clinic location as well as by medical group. In contrast, the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) measures use data from health plans. This data enables reporting of results by medical group only. So, clinic level results are only reported for DDS measures but not for HEDIS measures. In addition, in this report, only DDS measures are reported by geography, age, gender, race, Hispanic ethnicity, language and country of origin.

DIRECT DATA SUBMISSION (DDS) MEASURES

Measures Reported

The following DDS measures are included in this report and used data submitted directly to MNMCM by medical groups and clinics: Optimal Diabetes Care, Optimal Vascular Care, Optimal Asthma Control – Adults, and Optimal Asthma Control – Children. These measures were developed and are maintained by MN Community Measurement.

Data Collection

All data elements are specified by MN Community Measurement in our 2018 DDS guides. These guides provide detailed steps and instructions to ensure that clinics submitted data in a standard format.

Data are reported at two levels: by clinic site and medical group. Clinics are defined as single locations where patients received care. Medical groups usually consist of multiple clinics. Often, the medical group provides centralized administrative functions for multiple clinics.

Clinic abstractors collect data from medical records either by extracting the data from an electronic medical record (EMR) via data query or from abstraction of paper-based medical records. Medical groups completed numerous quality checks before data was submitted to MNMCM. Detailed instructions for medical groups/clinics conducting quality checks are provided in the 2018 DDS Guides. All appropriate Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) requirements are followed.

An extensive validation process is conducted by MNMCM staff including pre-submission data certification, post submission data quality checks of all files, and audits of the data source for selected clinics. For medical record audits, MNMCM uses the National Committee for Quality Assurance’s (NCQA) “8 and 30” File Sampling Procedure, developed in 1996 in consultation with Johns Hopkins University. For a detailed description of this procedure, see www.ncqa.org. Audits are conducted by trained MNMCM auditors who are independent of medical groups and/or clinics. The validation process ensures that the data are reliable, complete and consistent.

Eligible Population Specifications

The eligible populations for the measures reported through the DDS process to MNMCM by medical groups and clinics are identified by a medical group on behalf of their individual clinics. MNMCM's 2018 DDS Guides provide technical specifications for the standard definitions of the eligible population for each measure, including elements such as age, appropriate diagnosis codes, and number of visits needed in the measurement time frame.

Numerator Specifications

For DDS measures, the numerator is the number of patients identified from the eligible population who met the numerator criteria. The criteria are specified by MNMCM in the 2018 DDS Guides technical specifications. Clinical quality data that the medical group submits is used to calculate the numerator; this data is verified through MNMCM's validation process.

Calculating Rates

Due to the dynamic nature of patient populations, rates and 95 percent confidence intervals are calculated for each measure for each medical group/clinic regardless of whether the full population or a sample is submitted. Rates are first calculated for each medical group/clinic and then a statewide average rate is calculated. The statewide average rate is displayed when comparing a single medical group/clinic to the performance of all medical groups/clinics to provide context. The statewide average is calculated using all data submitted to MNMCM – this primarily includes data from Minnesota clinics but may include some data from clinics located in surrounding communities.

Risk Adjustment

Risk adjustment is a technique used to enable fair comparisons of clinics/medical groups by adjusting for the differences in risk among specific patient groups. The process is intended to isolate the clinic/medical group's true impact on patients' health and allow them to be compared more easily. Risk adjustment is applied to outcome measures submitted directly by providers; process measures are not risk adjusted.

The risk adjustment calculation employed by MN Community Measurement uses an "Actual to Expected" methodology. This methodology does not alter a clinic/medical group's result; the actual rate remains unchanged. Instead, each clinic/medical group's rate is compared to an "expected rate" for that clinic/ medical group that is based on the specific characteristics of patients seen at that clinic/medical group, compared to the total patient population.

All expected values for the Optimal Diabetes Care, Optimal Vascular Care, Optimal Asthma Control- Adults, and Optimal Asthma Control - Children measures are calculated using a logistic regression model with the following variables: health insurance product type (commercial, Medicare, Medicaid, uninsured, unknown), patient age, diabetes type (diabetes only), and deprivation index. The deprivation index was added in 2018 and includes ZIP code level average of poverty, public assistance, unemployment, single female with child(ren), and food stamps (SNAP) converted to a single index that is a proxy for overall socioeconomic status.

To test whether there is a statistically significant difference between the expected and actual rates by each clinic/medical group, a population proportions test is used. This method is employed to test the proportion of optimally managed patients attributed to a clinic/medical group compared to an expected rate that is calculated taking into account the overall state rate and adjusted for risk factors specific to the measure. The methodology uses a 95 percent test of significance.

The tables for the risk-adjusted measures include the following information:

- » Medical Group/Clinic name
- » Performance = Rating of medical group/clinic displayed on [MNHealthScores.org](https://www.mnhealthscores.org):
 - » Above = Clinic or medical group’s actual rate is significantly above its expected rate
 - » Expected = Clinic or medical group’s actual rate is equivalent to its expected rate
 - » Below = Clinic or medical group’s actual rate is significantly below its expected rate
- » Patients = Number of patients at a medical group/clinic site that meet the denominator criteria for the measure.
- » Actual Rate = Actual percentage of patients meeting criteria (unadjusted rate).
- » Expected Rate = Expected percentage of patients meeting criteria based on the clinic’s/medical group’s mix of patient risk (adjusted rate).
- » Actual to Expected Ratio = Actual percentage of patients meeting criteria divided by the expected percentage of patients meeting criteria for the clinic’s/medical group’s mix of patient risk.

Thresholds for Public Reporting

Not all medical groups and clinics are listed individually in the online appendix to this report. MNMCM established minimum thresholds for public reporting to ensure statistically reliable rates. Only medical groups and clinics that meet these thresholds are reported. For the DDS measures included in this report, a minimum threshold of 30 patients per clinic is required.

Geographic Analyses

Patient ZIP code was used to determine geographic location and then merged with the Rural-Urban Commuting Area (RUCA) version 2.0 ZIP code data to determine location type:

- » **RUCA codes 1-3:** Metropolitan area, population of 50,000+
This includes the counties that are part of metropolitan statistical areas as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau: Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, Duluth, St. Cloud, Rochester, Mankato-North Mankato, La Crosse-Onalaska, Grand Forks, Fargo.
- » **RUCA codes 4-6:** Micropolitan area, population of 10,000–49,000
This includes the counties that are part of micropolitan statistical areas as defined

by the U.S. Census Bureau: Albert Lea, Alexandria, Austin, Bemidji, Brainerd, Faribault-Northfield, Fergus Falls, Hutchinson, Marshall, New Ulm, Owatonna, Red Wing, Wahpeton, Willmar, Winona, Worthington.

- » **RUCA codes 7-10:** Small town/rural area, population less than 10,000
Encompasses the balance of the state (counties that are neither metropolitan or micropolitan).

Race, Ethnicity, Preferred Language and Country of Origin (REL) Analyses

The REL charts displayed in this report provide rate comparisons for each REL data category (race, Hispanic ethnicity, preferred language, and country of origin) for MNMCM measures at a statewide level. These charts utilize the REL data submitted by medical groups through MNMCM's DDS process. Please refer to the MNMCM [Handbook on the Collection of Race/Ethnicity/Language Data in Medical Groups](#) for more information about the REL data collection.

Best Practices for Clinical Measures

REL data collection undergoes a unique validation process to ensure that clinics collect REL data elements from patients using best practices. Best practices are defined as:

1. Patients self-report their race, Hispanic ethnicity, country of origin and preferred language.
2. Patients can select one or more categories for race (i.e., medical groups/clinics did not collect data using a Multi-Racial category).
3. Medical groups/clinics can capture and report more than one race as reported by the patient.

A medical group/clinic must meet all the criteria for an REL data element to achieve best practice status and to have their data for that element included in the rate calculation. Only validated REL data that has been collected using best practices are used to calculate REL rates.

HEDIS MEASURES

Measures Reported

The HEDIS measures are a widely used set of performance measures in the managed care industry, developed and maintained by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). There are two types of HEDIS measures: Administrative method (use only health care claims data) and hybrid method (health care claims data plus medical record review). The following HEDIS measure uses the hybrid method: Controlling High Blood Pressure. The following HEDIS measures use the administrative method: Diabetes Eye Exams and Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD.

Data Collection

NCQA's HEDIS Technical Specifications provide standard definitions for the eligible population for each measure, which include data elements such as age, continuous enrollment, and anchor date requirements. For administrative measures, the entire eligible population is the denominator. For the hybrid measures, the eligible population serves as the frame from which to draw a random sample of patients for chart audit and is used as the reference for weighting results.

Eligible Population Specifications

The eligible populations for the administrative and hybrid measures are identified by each participating health plan using its respective administrative claims databases. Health plans assign patients to a medical group using a standard medical group definition based on a tax identification number (TIN). Administrative billing codes determine the frequency of a patient's visit to a medical group. For most measures, patients are assigned to the medical group they visited most frequently during the measurement period. Patients who visited two or more medical groups with the same frequency are attributed to the medical group visited most recently in the measurement period. The TIN is used as the common identifier for aggregating data across health plans.

Numerator Specifications

For HEDIS administrative measures, the numerator is the number of patients from the eligible population who met the numerator criteria. For HEDIS hybrid measures, the numerator is the number of patients from the sample who met numerator criteria.

Calculating Rates

HEDIS administrative and hybrid measures are reported at a medical group level and are expressed as percentages. Rates calculated for administrative measures are straightforward; however, rates calculated for hybrid measures require weighting because of sampling procedures. Rates and 95-percent asymmetrical confidence intervals are calculated for each measure for each medical group. Asymmetrical confidence intervals are used to avoid confidence interval lower bound values less than zero and upper bound values greater than on hundred. Medical group rates are first calculated for each medical group and then a medical group average is calculated.

The medical group average is used to compare medical groups for the performance ratings. The statewide average includes attributed and unattributed patients and is displayed in the charts.

HEDIS measures are not risk adjusted. The tables for the HEDIS measures (e.g., diabetes eye exams, controlling high blood pressure, COPD) include all the columns noted for risk-adjusted measures except Rate (Expected) and Actual to Expected Ratio. Columns for Lower and Upper 95% Confidence Intervals are included. Additionally, HEDIS measures are rated on the following scale:

- » Above = Clinic or medical group’s actual rate is significantly above the medical group average
- » Average = Clinic or medical group’s actual rate is equivalent to the medical group average
- » Below = Clinic or medical group’s actual rate is significantly below the medical group average

Thresholds for Public Reporting

Not all reportable medical groups are listed individually in the online appendix to this report. MNMCM established minimum thresholds for public reporting to ensure statistically reliable rates. Only medical groups that meet these thresholds are reported. For the HEDIS administrative measures in this report, a minimum threshold of 30 patients per medical group is required. For the HEDIS hybrid measure in this report, a minimum threshold of 60 patients per medical group is required.

Limitations

Data used to calculate rates for the HEDIS measures reflect patients insured through 10 health plans doing business in Minnesota. Patients who are uninsured, self-pay, or who are served by Medicaid/Medicare fee-for-service are not reflected in the HEDIS results.